

Ellipsoids and elliptic hyperboloids in the Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^{n+1}

Dong-Soo Kim *

Department of Mathematics, Chonnam National University,
Kwangju 500-757, Korea

Abstract

We establish some characterizations of elliptic hyperboloids (resp., ellipsoids) in the $(n + 1)$ -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^{n+1} , using the n -dimensional area of the sections cut off by hyperplanes and the $(n + 1)$ -dimensional volume of regions between parallel hyperplanes. We also give a few characterizations of elliptic paraboloids in the $(n + 1)$ -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^{n+1} .

1. Introduction

In what follows we will say that a convex hypersurface of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} is *strictly convex* if the hypersurface is of positive normal curvatures with respect to the unit normal N pointing to the convex side. In particular, the Gauss-Kronecker curvature K is positive with respect to the unit normal N . We will also say that a convex function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is *strictly convex* if the graph of f is strictly convex with respect to the upward unit normal N .

Consider a smooth function $g : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We denote by R_g the set of all regular values of the function g . We assume that there exists an interval $S_g \subset R_g$ such that for every $k \in S_g$, the level hypersurface $M_k = g^{-1}(k)$ is a smooth strictly convex hypersurface in the $(n + 1)$ -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^{n+1} . We also denote by S_g the maximal interval in R_g which satisfies the above property.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 53A07.

Key words and phrases. Ellipsoid, elliptic hyperboloid, $(n + 1)$ -dimensional volume, n -dimensional surface area, level hypersurface, Gauss-Kronecker curvature.

*was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (2010-0022926). E-mail: dosokim@chonnam.ac.kr

If $k \in S_g$, then we may choose a maximal interval $I_k \subset S_g$ so that each M_{k+h} with $k+h \in I_k$ lies in the convex side of M_k . Note that I_k is of the form (k, a) with $a > k$ or (b, k) with $b < k$ according as the gradient ∇g of the function g points to the convex side of M_k or not.

For examples, consider two functions $g_{\pm} : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $g(x, z) = z^2 \pm (a_1^2 x_1^2 + \cdots + a_n^2 x_n^2)$ with positive constants a_1, \dots, a_n . Then, for the function g_- we have $R_{g_-} = R - \{0\}$, $S_{g_-} = (0, \infty)$ and $I_k = (k, \infty)$, $k \in S_{g_-}$. For g_+ , we get $R_{g_+} = S_{g_+} = (0, \infty)$ and $I_k = (0, k)$ with $k \in S_{g_+}$.

For a fixed point $p \in M_k$ with $k \in S_g$ and a sufficiently small h with $k+h \in I_k$, we consider the tangent hyperplane Φ of M_{k+h} at some point $v \in M_{k+h}$, which is parallel to the tangent hyperplane Ψ of M_k at $p \in M_k$. We denote by $A_p^*(k, h)$, $V_p^*(k, h)$ and $S_p^*(k, h)$ the n -dimensional area of the section in Φ enclosed by $\Phi \cap M_k$, the $(n+1)$ -dimensional volume of the region bounded by M_k and the hyperplane Φ , and the n -dimensional surface area of the region of M_k between the two hyperplanes Φ and Ψ , respectively.

In [3], the author and Y. H. Kim studied hypersurfaces in the $(n+1)$ -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^{n+1} defined by the graph of some function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. In our notations, they proved the following characterization theorem for elliptic paraboloids in the $(n+1)$ -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^{n+1} , which extends a result in [2].

Proposition 1. Suppose that $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a strictly convex function. We consider the function $g : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $g(x, z) = z - f(x)$, $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$. Then, the following are equivalent.

- 1) For a fixed $k \in R$, $V_p^*(k, h)$ is a nonnegative function $\phi(h)$, which depends only on h .
- 2) For a fixed $k \in R$, $A_p^*(k, h)/|\nabla g(p)|$ is a nonnegative function $\psi(h)$, which depends only on h . Here ∇g denotes the gradient of g .
- 3) The function $f(x)$ is a quadratic polynomial given by $f(x) = a_1^2 x_1^2 + \cdots + a_n^2 x_n^2$ with $a_i > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and hence every level hypersurface M_k of g is an elliptic paraboloid.

Note that in the above proposition, $R_g = S_g = R$ and $I_k = (k, \infty)$.

In particular, when $n = 2$, in a long series of propositions, Archimedes proved that every level surface M_k (paraboloid of rotation) of the function $g(x, y, z) = z - a^2(x^2 + y^2)$ in the 3-dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^3 satisfies $V_p^*(k, h) = ch^2$ for some constant c ([5], p.66 and Appendix A and B).

In this paper, we study the family of strictly convex level hypersurfaces $M_k, k \in S_g$ of a function $g : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies the following conditions.

(V^*): For $k \in S_g$ with $k+h \in I_k$, $V_p^*(k, h)$ with $p \in M_k$ is a nonnegative function $\phi_k(h)$, which depends only on k and h .

(A^*): For $k \in S_g$ with $k+h \in I_k$, $A_p^*(k, h)/|\nabla g(p)|$ with $p \in M_k$ is a nonnegative function $\psi_k(h)$, which depends only on k and h .

(S^*): For $k \in S_g$ with $k+h \in I_k$, $S_p^*(k, h)/|\nabla g(p)|$ with $p \in M_k$ is a nonnegative function $\eta_k(h)$, which depends only on k and h .

As a result, first of all, we establish the following characterizations of elliptic hyperboloids.

Theorem 2. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a nonnegative strictly convex function. For a nonzero real number $\alpha \in R$ with $\alpha \neq 1$, let's denote by g the function defined by $g(x, z) = z^\alpha - f(x)$. Suppose that the level hypersurfaces $M_k(k \in S_g)$ of g in the $(n+1)$ -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^{n+1} are strictly convex. Then the following are equivalent.

- 1) The function g satisfies Condition (V^*).
- 2) The function g satisfies Condition (A^*).
- 3) For $k \in S_g$, $K(p)|\nabla g(p)|^{n+2} = c(k)$ is constant on M_k , where $K(p)$ denotes the Gauss-Kronecker curvature of M_k at $p \in M_k$ with respect to the unit normal pointing to the convex side.
- 4) The function g is given by

$$g(x, z) = z^2 - (a_1^2 x_1^2 + \cdots + a_n^2 x_n^2),$$

where $a_i > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. In this case, $R_g = R - \{0\}$, $S_g = (0, \infty)$ and $I_k = (k, \infty)$, $k \in S_g$.

Next, in the similar way to the proof of Theorem 2, we prove the following characterizations of ellipsoids.

Theorem 3. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a nonnegative strictly convex function. For a nonzero real number $\alpha \in R$ with $\alpha \neq 1$, let's denote by g the function defined by $g(x, z) = z^\alpha + f(x)$. Suppose that the level hypersurfaces $M_k(k \in S_g)$ of g in the $(n+1)$ -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^{n+1} are strictly convex. Then the following are equivalent.

- 1) The function g satisfies Condition (V^*).
- 2) The function g satisfies Condition (A^*).

3) For $k \in S_g$, $K(p)|\nabla g(p)|^{n+2} = c(k)$ is constant on M_k , where $K(p)$ denotes the Gauss-Kronecker curvature of M_k at $p \in M_k$ with respect to the unit normal pointing to the convex side.

4) The function g is given by

$$g(x, z) = z^2 + a_1^2 x_1^2 + \cdots + a_n^2 x_n^2,$$

where $a_i > 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. In this case, $R_g = S_g = (0, \infty)$ and $I_k = (0, k), k \in S_g$.

In view of the above theorems and Lemma 9 in Section 2, it is natural to ask the following question.

Question 4. Which functions $g : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy Condition (S^*) ?

Partially, we answer Question 4 as follows.

Theorem 5. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a nonnegative strictly convex function. For a nonzero real number $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\alpha \neq 1$, let's denote by g the function defined by $g(x, z) = z^\alpha - f(x)$. Suppose that the level hypersurfaces $M_k (k \in S_g)$ of g in the $(n+1)$ -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^{n+1} are strictly convex. Then, the function g does not satisfy Condition (S^*) .

In [3], using harmonic function theory, the author and Y. H. Kim proved Theorem 5 when $\alpha = 1$.

Finally, we generalize the characterization theorem of [3] for elliptic paraboloids in the $(n+1)$ -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^{n+1} as follows.

Theorem 6. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a nonnegative strictly convex function. For a nonzero real number $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\alpha \neq 2$, let's denote by g the function defined by $g(x, z) = z^\alpha - f(x)$. Suppose that the level hypersurfaces $M_k (k \in S_g)$ of g in the $(n+1)$ -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^{n+1} are strictly convex. Then the following are equivalent.

- 1) The function g satisfies Condition (V^*) .
- 2) The function g satisfies Condition (A^*) .
- 3) For $k \in S_g$, $K(p)|\nabla g(p)|^{n+2} = c(k)$ is constant on M_k .
- 4) The function g is given by

$$g(x, z) = z - a_1^2 x_1^2 - \cdots - a_n^2 x_n^2,$$

where a_1, \dots, a_n are positive constants. In this case, $R_g = S_g = R$ and $I_k = (k, \infty)$.

Throughout this article, all objects are smooth and connected, unless otherwise mentioned.

2. Preliminaries

Suppose that M is a smooth strictly convex hypersurface in the $(n+1)$ -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^{n+1} with the unit normal N pointing to the convex side. For a fixed point $p \in M$ and for a sufficiently small $t > 0$, consider the hyperplane Φ passing through the point $p + tN(p)$ which is parallel to the tangent hyperplane Ψ of M at p .

We denote by $A_p(t)$, $V_p(t)$ and $S_p(t)$ the n -dimensional area of the section in Φ enclosed by $\Phi \cap M$, the $(n+1)$ -dimensional volume of the region bounded by the hypersurface and the hyperplane Φ and the n -dimensional surface area of the region of M between the two hyperplanes Φ and Ψ , respectively.

Now, we may introduce a coordinate system $(x, z) = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, z)$ of \mathbb{E}^{n+1} with the origin p , the tangent hyperplane of M at p is the hyperplane $z = 0$. Furthermore, we may assume that M is locally the graph of a non-negative strictly convex function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Hence N is the unit normal pointing upward.

Then, for a sufficiently small $t > 0$ we have

$$A_p(t) = \int_{f(x) < t} 1 dx, \quad (2.1)$$

$$V_p(t) = \int_{f(x) < t} \{t - f(x)\} dx \quad (2.2)$$

and

$$S_p(t) = \int_{f(x) < t} \sqrt{1 + |\nabla f|^2} dx, \quad (2.3)$$

where $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$, $dx = dx_1 dx_2 \dots dx_n$ and ∇f denote the gradient vector of the function f .

Note that we also have

$$\begin{aligned} V_p(t) &= \int_{f(x) < t} \{t - f(x)\} dx \\ &= \int_{z=0}^t \left\{ \int_{f(x) < z} 1 dx \right\} dz. \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Hence, together with the fundamental theorem of calculus, (2.4) shows that

$$V_p'(t) = \int_{f(x) < t} 1 dx = A_p(t). \quad (2.5)$$

In order to prove our theorems, first of all, we need the following.

Lemma 7. Suppose that the Gauss-Kronecker curvature $K(p)$ of M at p is positive with respect to the unit normal N pointing to the convex side of M . Then we have the following.

1)

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{(\sqrt{t})^n} A_p(t) = \frac{(\sqrt{2})^n \omega_n}{\sqrt{K(p)}}, \quad (2.6)$$

2)

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{(\sqrt{t})^{n+2}} V_p(t) = \frac{(\sqrt{2})^{n+2} \omega_n}{(n+2)\sqrt{K(p)}}, \quad (2.7)$$

3)

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{(\sqrt{t})^n} S_p(t) = \frac{(\sqrt{2})^n \omega_n}{\sqrt{K(p)}}, \quad (2.8)$$

where ω_n denotes the volume of the n -dimensional unit ball.

Proof. For proofs of 1), 2) and 3) with $n=2$, see Lemma 7 of [2]. For a proof of 3) with arbitrary n , see Lemma 8 of [3].

Now, we prove the following.

Lemma 8. Consider the family of strictly convex level hypersurfaces $M_k = g^{-1}(k)$ of a function $g : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies Condition (V^*) . Then, for each $k \in S_g$, on M_k we have

$$K(p)|\nabla g(p)|^{n+2} = c(k), \quad (2.9)$$

which is independent of $p \in M_k$, where $K(p)$ is the Gauss-Kronecker curvature of M_k at p with respect to the unit normal N pointing to the convex side and $\nabla g(p)$ denotes the gradient of g at p .

Proof. By considering $-g$ if necessary, we may assume that I_k is of the form $[k, a]$ with $a > k$, that is, $N = \nabla g / |\nabla g|$ on M_k . For a fixed point $p \in M_k$ and a small $t > 0$, we have

$$V_p(t) = V_p^*(k, h(t)) = \phi_k(h(t)),$$

where $h = h(t)$ is a positive function with $h(0) = 0$. By differentiating with respect to t , we get

$$A_p(t) = V_p'(t) = \phi_k'(h)h'(t), \quad (2.10)$$

where $\phi'_k(h)$ denotes the derivative of ϕ_k with respect to h . Hence we obtain

$$\frac{1}{(\sqrt{t})^n} A_p(t) = \frac{\phi'_k(h)}{(\sqrt{h})^n} \left(\sqrt{\frac{h(t)}{t}} \right)^n h'(t). \quad (2.11)$$

Now we claim that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} h'(t) = |\nabla g(p)|. \quad (2.12)$$

Assuming (2.12), we also get

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \sqrt{\frac{h(t)}{t}} = \sqrt{|\nabla g(p)|}. \quad (2.13)$$

Let us put $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \phi'_k(h)/(\sqrt{h})^n = \gamma(k)$, which is independent of p . Then it follows from (2.11), (2.12), (2.13) and Lemma 7 that

$$K(p) |\nabla g(p)|^{n+2} = \frac{2^n \omega_n^2}{\gamma(k)^2}, \quad (2.14)$$

which is constant on the level hypersurface M_k . Thus it suffices to show that (2.12) holds.

In order to prove (2.12), we consider an orthonormal basis $E_1, \dots, E_n, N(p)$ of \mathbb{E}^{n+1} at $p \in M_k$, where $E_1, \dots, E_n \in T_p(M_k)$ and $N(p) = \nabla g(p)/|\nabla g(p)|$ is the unit normal pointing to the convex side. We consider a 1-parameter family Φ_t of hyperplanes $\Phi_t(s_1, \dots, s_n) = p + tN(p) + \sum_{i=1}^n s_i E_i$, which are parallel to the tangent hyperplane Φ_0 of M_k at p . For small $t > 0$, there exist $s_i = s_i(t), i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ with $s_i(0) = 0$ such that Φ_t is tangent to $M_{k+h(t)}$ at $s_i = s_i(t), i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Hence we have

$$k + h(t) = g(\Phi_t(s_1, \dots, s_n)) = g(p + tN(p) + \sum_{i=1}^n s_i(t) E_i). \quad (2.15)$$

Thus, by differentiating with respect to t , we get

$$\frac{dh}{dt} = \left\langle \nabla g(\Phi_t(s_1, \dots, s_n)), N(p) + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{ds_i}{dt} E_i \right\rangle. \quad (2.16)$$

Note that $\Phi_t(s_1, \dots, s_n) \rightarrow p$ as t tends to 0. Therefore, we obtain

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{dh}{dt} = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \langle \nabla g(p), N(p) \rangle = |\nabla g(p)|, \quad (2.17)$$

which completes the proof of (2.12). This completes the proof. \square

Similarly to the proof of Lemma 8, we may obtain

Lemma 9. Consider the family of strictly convex level hypersurfaces $M_k = g^{-1}(k)$ of a function $g : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which satisfies either Condition (A^*) or Condition (S^*) . Then, on M_k with $k \in S_g$ we have

$$K(p)|\nabla g(p)|^{n+2} = d(k), \quad (2.18)$$

which is independent of $p \in M_k$, where $K(p)$ is the Gauss-Kronecker curvature of M_k at p with respect to the unit normal N pointing to the convex side and $\nabla g(p)$ denotes the gradient of g at p .

Proof. As in the proof of Lemma 8, we may assume that I_k is of the form $[k, a]$ with $a > k$, that is, $N = \nabla g / |\nabla g|$ on M_k . For a fixed point $p \in M_k$ and a small $t > 0$, we have $A_p(t) = A_p^*(k, h(t))$ for some positive function $h = h(t)$ with $h(0) = 0$. Suppose that M_k satisfies Condition (A^*) . Then, we have

$$A_p(t) = A_p^*(k, h(t)) = \psi_k(h(t))|\nabla g(p)|. \quad (2.19)$$

Hence we obtain

$$\frac{1}{(\sqrt{t})^n} A_p(t) = \frac{\psi_k(h)}{(\sqrt{h})^n} \left(\sqrt{\frac{h(t)}{t}} \right)^n |\nabla g(p)|. \quad (2.20)$$

We put $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \psi_k(h)/(\sqrt{h})^n = \beta(k)$, which is independent of $p \in M_k$. Then it follows from (2.13), (2.20) and Lemma 7 that

$$K(p)|\nabla g(p)|^{n+2} = \frac{2^n \omega_n^2}{\beta(k)^2}, \quad (2.21)$$

which is constant on the level hypersurface M_k .

The remaining case can be treated similarly. This completes the proof. \square

3. Ellipsoids and elliptic hyperboloids

In this section, first of all, we prove Theorem 2.

For a nonzero real number α with $\alpha \neq 1$ and a nonnegative convex function $f(x)$ defined on \mathbb{R}^n , we consider the function $g(x, z) = z^\alpha - f(x)$. We assume that the level hypersurfaces $M_k, k \in S_g$ defined by $g(x, z) = k$ are all strictly convex, and hence each $M_k, k \in S_g$ has positive Gauss-Kronecker curvature K with respect to the unit normal N pointing to the convex side.

On each M_k , by differentiating, we have for a fixed point $p = (x, z) \in M_k$,

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla f &= \alpha z^{\alpha-1} \nabla z, \\ |\nabla g(p)|^2 &= \alpha^2 z^{2\alpha-2} + |\nabla f(x)|^2, \\ z_{ij} &= \frac{1}{\alpha^2 z^{2\alpha-1}} (\alpha z^\alpha f_{ij} - (\alpha - 1) f_i f_j), \quad i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n, \end{aligned} \quad (3.1)$$

where z_i denotes the partial derivative of z with respect to $x_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and so on. The Gauss-Kronecker curvature $K(p)$ of M_k at p is given by ([6])

$$\begin{aligned} K(p) &= \frac{\det(z_{ij})}{(\sqrt{1 + |\nabla z|^2})^{n+2}} \\ &= \frac{\alpha^{n+2} z^{(\alpha-1)(n+2)} \det(z_{ij})}{(\sqrt{\alpha^2 z^{2\alpha-2} + |\nabla f(x)|^2})^{n+2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where the second equality follows from (3.1). Thus, it follows from (3.1) and (3.2) that

$$\begin{aligned} K(p) |\nabla g(p)|^{n+2} &= \alpha^{n+2} z^{(\alpha-1)(n+2)} \det(z_{ij}) \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha^{n-2} z^{\alpha n - 2\alpha + 2}} \det(\alpha z^\alpha f_{ij} - (\alpha - 1) f_i f_j). \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

First, suppose that the function $g(x, z) = z^\alpha - f(x)$ satisfies Condition (V^*) or Condition (A^*) . Then, it follows from Lemma 8 or Lemma 9 that the function g satisfies the condition 3) of Theorem 2. That is, there exists a constant $c(k)$ depending on k such that

$$\det(\alpha z^\alpha f_{ij} - (\alpha - 1) f_i f_j) = \alpha^{n-2} c(k) z^{\alpha n - 2\alpha + 2}. \quad (3.4)$$

By substituting $z^\alpha = f(x) + k$ into (3.4), we see that $f(x)$ satisfies

$$\det(\alpha(f(x) + k) f_{ij} - (\alpha - 1) f_i f_j) = \alpha^{n-2} c(k) (f(x) + k)^{n-2+2/\alpha}. \quad (3.5)$$

We denote by $A_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ the i -th column vector of the matrix in the left hand side of (3.5). Then we have

$$A_i = \alpha(f(x) + k) B_i - C_i, \quad (3.6)$$

where

$$B_i = \begin{pmatrix} f_{i1} \\ f_{i2} \\ \vdots \\ f_{in} \end{pmatrix} = \nabla f_i, \quad C_i = (\alpha - 1) f_i \begin{pmatrix} f_1 \\ f_2 \\ \vdots \\ f_n \end{pmatrix} = (\alpha - 1) f_i \nabla f. \quad (3.7)$$

Hence, it follows from the multilinear alternating property of determinant function that

$$\begin{aligned} \det(A_1, \dots, A_n) &= \alpha^n (f(x) + k)^n \det(B_1, \dots, B_n) \\ &\quad - \alpha^{n-1} (f(x) + k)^{n-1} \{ \det(C_1, B_2, \dots, B_n) \\ &\quad + \dots + \det(B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{n-1}, C_n) \}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Since $\det(f_{ij}) = \det(B_1, \dots, B_n)$, it follows from (3.5) and (3.8) that

$$\begin{aligned} c(k)(f(x) + k)^{(2-\alpha)/\alpha} &= \alpha^2(f(x) + k) \det(f_{ij}) - \alpha\{\det(C_1, B_2, \dots, B_n) \\ &\quad + \dots + \det(B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{n-1}, C_n)\} \\ &= A(x)k + B(x), \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

where we use the following notations.

$$\begin{aligned} A(x) &= \alpha^2 \det(f_{ij}), \\ B(x) &= \alpha^2 f(x) \det(f_{ij}) - \alpha\{\det(C_1, B_2, \dots, B_n) \\ &\quad + \dots + \det(B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{n-1}, C_n)\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

Note that the right hand side of (3.9) is a linear polynomial in k with functions in $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ as coefficients. Furthermore, note that for each k , $c(k)$ is positive and $f(x) + k$ is a nonconstant function in x . It follows from (3.9) that

$$c(k)^\alpha = (A(x)k + B(x))^\alpha (k + f(x))^{\alpha-2}. \quad (3.11)$$

Suppose that α is a nonzero real number with $\alpha \neq 1, 2$. Then, by using logarithmic differentiation of (3.11) with respect to $x_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, we get

$$\alpha(\nabla A(x)k + \nabla B(x))(k + f(x)) + (\alpha - 2)(A(x)k + B(x))\nabla f(x) = 0, \quad (3.12)$$

which is a quadratic polynomial in k . It follows from (3.12) and the assumption $\alpha \neq 0, 1, 2$ that $\nabla f(x) = 0$, which is a contradiction.

Thus, by assumption, we see that $\alpha = 2$ is the only possible case. In this case, (3.9) implies that for some constants a and b , $c(k) = ak + b$ with $A(x) = a$ and $B(x) = b$. It follows from (3.10) that

$$\det(f_{ij}) = \frac{a}{4}, \quad (3.13)$$

and

$$\det(C_1, B_2, \dots, B_n) + \dots + \det(B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{n-1}, C_n) = \frac{1}{2}(af(x) - b), \quad (3.14)$$

where

$$B_i = \nabla f_i, \quad C_i = f_i \nabla f, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n. \quad (3.15)$$

Since $f(x)$ is a nonnegative strictly convex function, (3.13) shows that $\det(f_{ij})$ is a positive constant on \mathbb{R}^n . Hence $f(x)$ is a quadratic polynomial given by ([1], [4])

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = a_1^2 x_1^2 + \dots + a_n^2 x_n^2, \quad a_1, \dots, a_n > 0. \quad (3.16)$$

Thus, the level hypersurfaces must be the elliptic hyperboloids $M_k = g^{-1}(k)$, where $g(x, z) = z^2 - (a_1^2 x_1^2 + \cdots + a_n^2 x_n^2)$, $z > 0$ with $k > 0$ and $a_1, \dots, a_n > 0$.

Conversely, consider the function g given by $g(x, z) = z^2 - f(x)$, $z > 0$ with $k > 0$, where $f(x) = a_1^2 x_1^2 + \cdots + a_n^2 x_n^2$, $a_1, \dots, a_n > 0$. For the function g , we have $R_g = R - \{0\}$, $S_g = (0, \infty)$ and $I_k = (k, \infty)$, $k \in S_g$.

For a fixed $k > 0$ and a small $h > 0$, consider the tangent hyperplane Ψ of M_k at a point $p \in M_k$. There exists a point $v \in M_{k+h}$ such that the tangent hyperplane Φ of M_{k+h} at v is parallel to the hyperplane Ψ . The two points p and v of tangency are related by

$$v = \frac{\sqrt{k+h}}{\sqrt{k}} p, \quad p = (p_1, \dots, p_n, \sqrt{r^2 + k}), \quad r^2 = a_1^2 p_1^2 + \cdots + a_n^2 p_n^2. \quad (3.17)$$

Note that $V_p^*(k, h)$ denote the $(n+1)$ -dimensional volume of the region of M_k cut off by the hyperplane Φ .

Then the linear mapping

$$T_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, z) = (a_1 x_1, a_2 x_2, \dots, a_n x_n, z) \quad (3.18)$$

transforms M_k (resp., M_{k+h}) onto a hyperboloid of revolution $M'_k : z^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 + k$, (resp., $M'_{k+h} : z^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 + k + h$), Φ to a hyperplane Φ' , $p \in M_k$ and $v \in M_{k+h}$ to points of tangency $p' = (p'_1, \dots, p'_n, \sqrt{(r')^2 + k}) \in M'_k$ and $v' = (\sqrt{k+h}/\sqrt{k})p' \in M'_{k+h}$, respectively, where $(r')^2 = \Sigma(p'_i)^2$. If we let $V_{p'}^*(k, h)$ denote the volume of the region of M'_k cut off by the hyperplane Φ' , then we get

$$V_{p'}^*(k, h) = a_1 \cdots a_n V_p^*(k, h). \quad (3.19)$$

Let's consider the rotation A around the z -axis which maps the point p' of tangency to $p'' = (0, \dots, 0, r', \sqrt{(r')^2 + k})$. Then the rotation A takes v' to $v'' = (\sqrt{k+h}/\sqrt{k})p''$. Note that the 1-parameter group $B(t)$ on the $x_n z$ -plane defined by

$$B(t) = \begin{pmatrix} \cosh t & \sinh t \\ \sinh t & \cosh t \end{pmatrix}, \quad (3.20)$$

takes the upper hyperbola $z^2 = x_n^2 + k, z > 0$ (resp., $z^2 = x_n^2 + k + h, z > 0$) onto itself. Hence, there exists a parameter t_0 such that $B(t_0)$ maps p'' to $p''' = (0, \dots, 0, \sqrt{k})$ (resp., v'' to $v''' = (0, \dots, 0, \sqrt{k+h})$).

We consider the linear mapping $T_2 = \bar{B}(t_0) \circ A$ of \mathbb{R}^{n+1} , where $\bar{B}(t_0)$ denotes the extended linear mapping of $B(t_0)$ on \mathbb{R}^{n+1} fixing $x_1 \cdots x_{n-1}$ -plane. Then the linear mapping T_2 takes

the hyperboloid of rotation M'_k (resp., M'_{k+h}) onto itself, p' and v' to the points of tangency $p''' = (0, \dots, 0, \sqrt{k})$ and $v''' = (0, \dots, 0, \sqrt{k+h})$, Φ' to the hyperplane $\Phi'' : z = \sqrt{k+h}$.

Due to the volume-preserving property of T_2 , we obtain

$$V_{p'}^*(k, h) = V^*(k, h), \quad (3.21)$$

where $V^*(k, h)$ denotes the volume of the region of M'_k cut off by the hyperplane Φ'' . Together with (3.19), it follows from (3.21) that

$$V_p^*(k, h) = \frac{\omega_n}{a_1 \cdots a_n} \left\{ \sqrt{k+h} h^{n/2} - n \int_0^{\sqrt{h}} \sqrt{r^2 + k} r^{n-1} dr \right\}, \quad (3.22)$$

where ω_n denotes the volume of the n -dimensional unit ball. Hence, we see that $V_p^*(k, h)$ is independent of the point $p \in M_k$, which is denoted by $\phi_k(h)$. Thus the function g given by $g(x, z) = z^2 - f(x)$, $z > 0$ satisfies Condition (V^*) .

Finally, we show that the function g given by $g(x, z) = z^2 - f(x)$, $z > 0$ with $k > 0$, where $f(x) = a_1^2 x_1^2 + \cdots + a_n^2 x_n^2$, $a_1, \dots, a_n > 0$ satisfies Condition (A^*) . For a fixed point $p = (p_1, \dots, p_n, \sqrt{r^2 + k}) \in M_k$, where $r^2 = a_1^2 p_1^2 + \cdots + a_n^2 p_n^2$, and a small $t \in R$, we have $V_p(t) = \phi_k(h(t))$ for some $h = h(t)$ with $h(0) = 0$. By differentiating with respect to t , from (2.5) we get

$$A_p(t) = V_p'(t) = \phi_k'(h) h'(t), \quad (3.23)$$

where $\phi_k'(h)$ denotes the derivative of ϕ_k with respect to h .

With the aid of (3.17), it is straightforward to show that

$$t = \frac{2\sqrt{k}(\sqrt{k+h} - \sqrt{k})}{|\nabla g(p)|}. \quad (3.24)$$

Hence we get

$$h(t) = \frac{1}{4k} |\nabla g(p)|^2 t^2 + |\nabla g(p)| t. \quad (3.25)$$

It follows from (3.24), (3.25) and (3.23) that

$$A_p^*(k, h) = A_p(t) = \frac{\sqrt{k+h}}{\sqrt{k}} \phi_k'(h) |\nabla g(p)|, \quad (3.26)$$

which shows that the function g given by $g(x, z) = z^2 - f(x)$, $z > 0$ satisfies Condition (A^*) .

It follows from (3.9) and (3.10) with $\alpha = 2$ that the function g given by $g(x, z) = z^2 - f(x)$, $z > 0$ satisfies

$$K(p) |\nabla g(p)|^{n+2} = c(k) = 2^{n+2} a_1^2 a_2^2 \cdots a_n^2 k. \quad (3.27)$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.

Next, we prove Theorem 3 as follows.

For a nonzero real number α with $\alpha \neq 1$ and a nonnegative convex function $f(x)$ defined on \mathbb{R}^n , we consider the function $g(x, z) = z^\alpha + f(x)$. We assume that the level hypersurfaces $M_k, k \in S_g$ defined by $g(x, z) = k$ are all strictly convex, and hence each $M_k, k \in S_g$ has positive Gauss-Kronecker curvature K with respect to the unit normal N pointing to the convex side.

Suppose that the function g satisfies Condition (V^*) or Condition (A^*) . Then, it follows from Lemma 8 or Lemma 9 that M_k satisfies the condition 3) of Theorem 3. Then, changing $f(x)$ by $-f(x)$ in the proof of Theorem 2, (3.11) shows that $f(x)$ satisfies

$$(-1)^{\alpha n} c(k)^\alpha = (A(x)k + B(x))^\alpha (k - f(x))^{\alpha-2}, \quad (3.28)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A(x) &= \alpha^2 \det(f_{ij}), \\ B(x) &= -\alpha^2 f(x) \det(f_{ij}) + \alpha \{ \det(C_1, B_2, \dots, B_n) \\ &\quad + \dots + \det(B_1, B_2, \dots, B_{n-1}, C_n) \}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.29)$$

and $B_i = \nabla f_i, C_i = (\alpha - 1)f_i \nabla f, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Since $c(k) > 0$, the logarithmic differentiation of (3.28) shows that $\alpha = 2$, and hence for some constants a and b , we get $(-1)^n c(k) = ak + b$ with $\det(f_{ij}) = a/4$. Since $f(x)$ is a nonnegative strictly convex function, this implies that $\det(f_{ij})$ is a positive constant on \mathbb{R}^n . By the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 2, we see that $f(x)$ is a quadratic polynomial given by

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = a_1^2 x_1^2 + \dots + a_n^2 x_n^2, \quad a_1, \dots, a_n > 0. \quad (3.30)$$

Thus, the level hypersurfaces must be the ellipsoids given by $g(x, z) = z^2 + a_1^2 x_1^2 + \dots + a_n^2 x_n^2 = k$ with $k > 0$ and $a_1, \dots, a_n > 0$.

Conversely, we consider the function g given by $g(x, z) = z^2 + a_1^2 x_1^2 + \dots + a_n^2 x_n^2$ with $a_1, \dots, a_n > 0$. For the function g , we have $R_g = S_g = (0, \infty)$ and $I_k = (0, k), k \in S_g$.

For a fixed $k > 0$ and a point $p \in M_k$, consider the tangent hyperplane Ψ of M_k at p . For a sufficiently small $h < 0$ with $k + h > 0$, there exists a point $v \in M_{k+h}$ such that the tangent hyperplane Φ of M_{k+h} at v is parallel to the hyperplane Ψ . The two points p and v of tangency are related by

$$v = \frac{\sqrt{k+h}}{\sqrt{k}} p, \quad p = (p_1, \dots, p_n, \sqrt{k-r^2}), \quad r^2 = a_1^2 p_1^2 + \dots + a_n^2 p_n^2. \quad (3.31)$$

Then the linear mapping

$$T_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, z) = (a_1x_1, a_2x_2, \dots, a_nx_n, z) \quad (3.32)$$

transforms M_k (resp., M_{k+h}) onto a hypersphere $M'_k : x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2 + z^2 = k$, (resp., $M'_{k+h} : x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2 + z^2 = k+h$), Φ to a hyperplane Φ' , $p \in M_k$ and $v \in M_{k+h}$ to points of tangency $p' = (p'_1, \dots, p'_n, \sqrt{k - (r')^2}) \in M'_k$ and $v' = (\sqrt{k+h}/\sqrt{k})p' \in M'_{k+h}$, respectively, where $(r')^2 = \Sigma(p'_i)^2$. The corresponding volume $V_{p'}^*(k, h)$ is given by

$$V_{p'}^*(k, h) = a_1 \cdots a_n V_p^*(k, h). \quad (3.33)$$

By the symmetry of hyperspheres M'_k and M'_{k+h} centered at the origin, we see that $V_{p'}^*(k, h)$ is independent of the point p' . This, together with (3.33), shows that the function g satisfies Condition (V^*) .

Finally, we show that the function g given by $g(x, z) = z^2 + a_1^2 x_1^2 + \dots + a_n^2 x_n^2$ with $a_1, \dots, a_n > 0$ satisfies Condition (A^*) .

For a fixed point $p = (p_1, \dots, p_n, \sqrt{k - r^2}) \in M_k$, where $r^2 = a_1^2 p_1^2 + \dots + a_n^2 p_n^2$, and a small $t > 0$, we have $V_p(t) = \phi_k(h(t))$ for some negative function $h = h(t)$ with $h(0) = 0$. By differentiating with respect to t , we get from (2.5)

$$A_p(t) = V_p'(t) = \phi'_k(h)h'(t), \quad (3.34)$$

where $\phi'_k(h)$ denotes the derivative of ϕ_k with respect to h .

With the help of (3.31), it is straightforward to show that the distance t from $p \in M_k$ to the tangent hyperplane Φ to M_{k+h} at $v \in M_{k+h}$ is given by

$$t = \frac{2\sqrt{k}(\sqrt{k} - \sqrt{k+h})}{|\nabla g(p)|}. \quad (3.35)$$

Hence we get

$$h(t) = \frac{1}{4k} |\nabla g(p)|^2 t^2 - |\nabla g(p)|t. \quad (3.36)$$

It follows from (3.34), (3.35) and (3.36) that

$$A_p^*(k, h) = A_p(t) = -\frac{\sqrt{k+h}}{\sqrt{k}} \phi'_k(h) |\nabla g(p)|. \quad (3.37)$$

This shows that the function g given by $g(x, z) = z^2 + a_1^2 x_1^2 + \dots + a_n^2 x_n^2$ with $a_1, \dots, a_n > 0$ satisfies Condition (A^*) .

It follows from (3.28) and (3.29) with $\alpha = 2$ that the family M_k of ellipsoids satisfies

$$K(p)|\nabla g(p)|^{n+2} = c(k) = 2^{n+2}a_1^2a_2^2 \cdots a_n^2k. \quad (3.38)$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

4. Condition (S^*)

In this section, we prove Theorem 5.

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a nonnegative strictly convex function. For a real number $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\alpha \neq 0, 1$, we consider the function $g : \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $g(x, z) = z^\alpha - f(x)$.

Suppose that the level hypersurfaces $M_k, k \in S_g$ of g in the $(n+1)$ -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^{n+1} are strictly convex and that the function g satisfies Condition (S^*) . Then, as in the proof of Theorem 2, we can show that the function g is given by $g(x, z) = z^2 - f(x), z > 0$ with $k > 0$, where $f(x) = a_1^2x_1^2 + \cdots + a_n^2x_n^2, a_1, \dots, a_n > 0$.

For a fixed $k > 0$ and a small $h > 0$, consider the tangent hyperplane Φ of M_{k+h} at a point $v \in M_{k+h}$ which is parallel to the tangent hyperplane Ψ of M_k at $p \in M_k$. The two points p and v of tangency are related by

$$v = \frac{\sqrt{k+h}}{\sqrt{k}}p = \frac{\sqrt{k+h}}{\sqrt{k}}(p_1, \dots, p_n, \sqrt{r^2+k}), r^2 = a_1^2p_1^2 + \cdots + a_n^2p_n^2. \quad (4.1)$$

The tangent hyperplane Φ of M_{k+h} at $v \in M_{k+h}$ is given by

$$\Phi : z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{r^2+k}}\{a_1^2p_1x_1 + \cdots + a_n^2p_nx_n + \sqrt{k(k+h)}\}. \quad (4.2)$$

The linear transformation $y_1 = a_1x_1, \dots, y_n = a_nx_n, z = z$ transforms M_k to $M'_k : z^2 = |y|^2 + k, p = (p_1, \dots, p_n, \sqrt{r^2+k})$ to $q = (a_1p_1, \dots, a_np_n, \sqrt{r^2+k})$, and Φ to the hyperplane Φ' defined by

$$\Phi' : z = \frac{1}{\sqrt{|q|^2+k}}\{\langle q, y \rangle + \sqrt{k(k+h)}\}. \quad (4.3)$$

Hence the n -dimensional surface area $S_p^*(k, h)$ of the region of M_k between the two hyperplanes Φ and Ψ is given by

$$S_p^*(k, h) = \frac{1}{a} \int_{D_q(k, h)} \frac{\{(a_1^2+1)y_1^2 + \cdots + (a_n^2+1)y_n^2 + k\}^{1/2}}{\sqrt{|y|^2+k}} dy, \quad (4.4)$$

where $a = a_1 \cdots a_n$ and

$$D_q(k, h) : (|q|^2 + k)(|y|^2 + k) \leq (\langle q, y \rangle + \sqrt{k(k+h)})^2. \quad (4.5)$$

By assumption, $S_p^*(k, h)/|\nabla g(p)| = \eta_k(h)$ is independent of p . Since we have

$$|\nabla g(p)|^2 = 4((a_1^2 + 1)q_1^2 + \cdots + (a_n^2 + 1)q_n^2 + k),$$

we see that

$$\int_{D_q(k, h)} H(y) dy = 2a\sqrt{|q|^2 + k}H(q)\eta_k(h), \quad (4.6)$$

where we denote

$$H(y) = \frac{\{(a_1^2 + 1)y_1^2 + \cdots + (a_n^2 + 1)y_n^2 + k\}^{1/2}}{\sqrt{|y|^2 + k}}. \quad (4.7)$$

It is straightforward to show that $D_q(k, h)$ is an ellipsoid centered at $\sqrt{(k+h)/k}q$ and its canonical form is given by

$$\frac{ky_1^2}{h(|q|^2 + k)} + \frac{y_2^2 + \cdots + y_n^2}{h} \leq 1. \quad (4.8)$$

This shows that the volume of $D_q(k, h)$ is given by

$$V(D_q(k, h)) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{k}}(\sqrt{h})^n \sqrt{|q|^2 + k} \omega_n. \quad (4.9)$$

Let's denote by $\theta_k(h)$ the function defined by

$$\theta_k(h) = \frac{2a\sqrt{k}}{\omega_n(\sqrt{h})^n} \eta_k(h). \quad (4.10)$$

Then, it follows from (4.6) and (4.9) that $H(y)$ satisfies

$$\frac{1}{V(D_q(k, h))} \int_{D_q(k, h)} H(y) dy = H(q)\theta_k(h). \quad (4.11)$$

When $q = 0$, $H(0) = 1$ and $D_0(k, h)$ is the ball $B_0(\sqrt{h})$ of radius \sqrt{h} centered at $y = 0$. Hence, (4.11) implies that for any positive numbers k and h

$$\theta_k(h) = \frac{1}{V(B_0(\sqrt{h}))} \int_{B_0(\sqrt{h})} H(y) dy > 1. \quad (4.12)$$

If we let $a_1 = \max\{a_i | i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$, then we have from (4.7)

$$\begin{aligned} 1 = H(0) &\leq H(y) < \sqrt{a_1^2 + 1}, \\ \lim_{y_1 \rightarrow \infty} H(y_1, 0, \dots, 0) &= \sqrt{a_1^2 + 1}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.13)$$

Thus, the left hand side of (4.11) is less than $\sqrt{a_1^2 + 1}$ for any positive numbers k, h and $q \in \mathbb{R}^n$. But, since $\theta_k(h) > 1$, for $q = (q_1, 0, \dots, 0)$ with sufficiently large q_1 , the right hand side of (4.11) is greater than $\sqrt{a_1^2 + 1}$. This contradiction completes the proof of Theorem 5.

5. Elliptic paraboloids

In this section, we prove Theorem 6.

Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a nonnegative strictly convex function. For a real number $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ with $\alpha \neq 0, 2$, let's consider the function g defined by $g(x, z) = z^\alpha - f(x)$. We suppose that the level hypersurfaces $M_k, k \in S_g$ of g in the $(n+1)$ -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^{n+1} are strictly convex.

Suppose that the function g satisfies the condition 1) or 2) in Theorem 6. Then, it follows from Lemma 8 or Lemma 9 that g satisfies the condition 3) in Theorem 6.

First, we show that the condition 3) in Theorem 6 implies 4) as follows. As in the proof of Theorem 2 in Section 3, we can show that if $\alpha \neq 0, 1, 2$, then (3.12) leads to a contradiction. Since $\alpha \neq 0, 2$, the remaining case is for $\alpha = 1$. In this case, it follows from (3.4) that

$$\det(f_{ij}(x)) = c(k). \quad (5.1)$$

Hence we see that $\det(f_{ij})$ is a positive constant c with $c(k) = c$. Thus, $f(x)$ is a quadratic polynomial given by ([1], [4])

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_n) = a_1^2 x_1^2 + \dots + a_n^2 x_n^2, \quad a_1, \dots, a_n > 0. \quad (5.2)$$

Conversely, suppose that the level hypersurfaces are given by $M_k : z = f(x) + k, z > 0$ with $k > 0$, where $f(x) = a_1^2 x_1^2 + \dots + a_n^2 x_n^2, a_1, \dots, a_n > 0$. In this case, we have $R_g = S_g = R$ and $I_k = (k, \infty)$. From the proof of Theorem 5 in [3], we get

$$V_p^*(k, h) = \gamma_n h^{(n+2)/2} \quad \text{and} \quad A_p^*(k, h) = \frac{n+2}{2} \gamma_n |\nabla g(p)| h^{n/2}, \quad (5.3)$$

where

$$\gamma_n = \frac{2\sigma_{n-1}}{n(n+2)a_1 a_2 \dots a_n} \quad (5.4)$$

and σ_{n-1} denotes the surface area of the $(n-1)$ -dimensional unit sphere. It also follows from (3.3) with $\alpha = 1$ that

$$K(p) |\nabla g(p)|^{n+2} = 2^n a_1^2 a_2^2 \dots a_n^2. \quad (5.5)$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 6.

Corollary 10. Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a nonnegative strictly convex function. For a nonzero real number $\alpha \in R$, let's denote by g the function defined by $g(x, z) = z^\alpha - f(x)$. Suppose that $R_g = R$ and the level hypersurfaces M_k of g in the $(n + 1)$ -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{E}^{n+1} are strictly convex for all $k \in R$. Then the following are equivalent.

- 1) The function g satisfies Condition (V^*) .
- 2) The function g satisfies Condition (A^*) .
- 3) $K(p)|\nabla g(p)|^{n+2} = c(k)$ is constant on each M_k .
- 4) For some positive constants a_1, \dots, a_n ,

$$g(x, z) = z - (a_1^2 x_1^2 + \dots + a_n^2 x_n^2).$$

Proof. Suppose that the function g satisfies one of the conditions 1), 2) and 3). Then as above, we have $\alpha = 1$ or $\alpha = 2$. In case $\alpha = 2$, $c(k)$ is a nonconstant linear function in k . This contradicts to the positivity of $c(k)$. Hence we have $\alpha = 1$. Thus, Theorem 6 completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] Jörgens, K., *Über die Lösungen der Differentialgleichung $rt - s^2 = 1$* , Math. Ann. 127(1954), 130-134.
- [2] Kim, D.-S. and Kim, Y. H., *Some characterizations of spheres and elliptic paraboloids*, Linear Algebra Appl. 437 (2012), no. 1, 113-120.
- [3] Kim, D.-S. and Kim, Y. H., *Some characterizations of spheres and elliptic paraboloids II*, Linear Algebra Appl. 438 (2013), no. 3, 1356-1364.
- [4] Pogorelov, A. V., *On the improper convex affine hyperspheres*, Geom. Dedicata 1(1972), no. 1, 33-46.
- [5] Stein, S., *Archimedes. What did he do besides cry Eureka?*, Mathematical Association of America, Washington, DC, 1999.
- [6] Thorpe, J. A., *Elementary topics in differential geometry*, Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1979.